Concussion is confusing us all

David J Sharp, Peter O Jenkins

ABSTRACT
It is time to stop using the term concussion as it has no clear definition and no pathological meaning. This confusion is increasingly problematic as the management of ‘concussed’ individuals is a pressing concern. Historically, it has been used to describe patients briefly disabled following a head injury, with the assumption that this was due to a transient disorder of brain function without long-term sequelae. However, the symptoms of concussion are highly variable in duration, and can persist for many years with no reliable early predictors of outcome. Using vague terminology for post-traumatic problems leads to misconceptions and biases in the diagnostic process, producing uninterpretable science, poor clinical guidelines and confused policy. We propose that the term concussion should be avoided. Instead neurologists and other healthcare professionals should classify the severity of traumatic brain injury and then attempt to precisely diagnose the underlying cause of post-traumatic symptoms.

INTRODUCTION
As neurologists, we often see patients who have persistent neurological problems after head injuries. Many of us are happy to reassure them that they have had a concussion and are suffering from transient ‘postconcussion syndrome’. These labels provide reassurance, both to the neurologist and patient, that the injury is benign and reinforce the view that nothing can be done to help. But what does concussion mean, and is such therapeutic nihilism justified? Although a ‘light touch’ to mild traumatic brain injury (TBI) is often appropriate, many patients go on to have persistent problems that would benefit from more precise neurological assessment.

TBI is a common problem. There are estimated to be at least 1 million emergency department attendances each year in the UK due to head injuries, 90% of which have been considered to be mild.1

Mild TBI is often considered relatively harmless. The assumption is that any neurological dysfunction is short-lived, usually in the region of minutes. However, long-term effects can be surprisingly common. The resolution of obvious confusion is often followed by a constellation of symptoms that include headache, dizziness, fatigue, irritability, reduced concentration, sleep disturbance, memory impairment, anxiety, sensitivity to noise and light, blurred vision and depression. Most patients suffering a mild TBI recover in the first 3 months,2–4 but a significant minority (up to a third) report symptoms persisting beyond 6 months.5–7

The presence of a more severe initial injury, pre-existing psychological problems, older age, female sex and previous head injuries all increase the likelihood of persistent symptoms.8 In addition, involvement in a compensation claim can also be a significant factor in perpetuating symptoms.9 10

TBI can also lead to long-term effects including epilepsy and neurodegeneration. There is an increased risk of Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease and chronic traumatic encephalopathy.11–13

Since the early 20th century, repetitive brain trauma sustained from boxing was recognised to produce a progressive neurological deterioration. Originally termed ‘dementia pugilistica’, there has recently been renewed interest in what is now termed chronic traumatic encephalopathy, a condition defined by neuro-pathological findings including the presence of neurofibrillary tangles in the depths of sulci.14 Epidemiological studies also show increased mortality rates even after mild TBI. One large cohort study tracked patients with TBI of all severities attending emergency departments in Glasgow, UK, in 1995 and 1996.15 16

Thirteen years after injury the mortality rate of the group had reached over 40%, with increased mortality even in young patients after mild TBI (15 vs 2 per 1000 per year in community controls).15 This did not simply reflect non-specific lifestyle factors associated with those exposing themselves to likely injury, as
patients with mild TBI had higher mortality rates than those with other types of injury.16

There are obviously important questions to answer about the way mild TBI is managed and the extent that patients need to be followed-up. There is confusion about acute assessment and treatment, as well as uncertainty about the prevalence of neurodegenerative complications and the ‘dose’ of TBI needed to produce them. We need clinical research to answer these questions, and clear guidelines about the acute management of mild TBI. Both of these goals are hampered by the confusion that surrounds the use of the term concussion.

CONCUSSION THROUGH HISTORY
Concussion has been applied in numerous different and often contradictory ways through history. It has been used to describe the symptoms suffered following a head injury as well as the pathophysiological mechanisms causing these symptoms7 (see ref 17 for a detailed history of the use of the term concussion). The modern use of the term reflects these factors.

The first use of the term in a modern context probably occurred towards the end of the first millennium. The Persian physician Razes (figure 1) used the term concussion to describe an abnormal physiological state of the brain, giving it a specific meaning and separating it from severe brain injury.17 In the 13th century Lanfrancus separated commotio cerebri and contusio cerebri, the former referring to a transient disruption of cerebral function brought about by ‘shaking’ of the brain and the latter to overt structural brain damage in the form of contusions or bruising.

This separation continued into the 20th century with the Committee to Study Head Injury Nomenclature proposing the following definition for concussion in 1966:18

A clinical syndrome characterised by immediate and transient impairment of neural function, such as alteration of consciousness, disturbance of vision, equilibrium, etc, due to mechanical forces.

However, some authors around this time recognised that not all patients recovered spontaneously and that symptoms could persist. For example, Symonds proposed that concussion should include those patients in whom residual symptoms persisted,19 which he attributed to diffuse nerve cell damage produced at the moment of injury.

CURRENT DEFINITIONS OF CONCUSSION
There is still no universal consensus regarding the definition of concussion. The 2012 Zurich Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport proposed that concussion and mild TBI should be viewed as distinct entities.20 The group defined concussion as a “complex pathophysiological process affecting the brain”, and allowed for the presence of neuropathological damage. However, concussive symptoms were largely thought to reflect a functional disturbance, typically resolving spontaneously with no imaging abnormality. In contrast, recent American Academy of Neurology guidelines for sports concussion in 2013 do not separate concussion from mild TBI, defining concussion as “a clinical syndrome of biomechanically induced alteration of brain function, typically affecting memory and orientation, which may involve loss of consciousness”. However, they noted a lack of consensus in the use of the term, with an overlap in the use of concussion and mild TBI.21

Therefore, concussion is currently used in two main ways: (1) to describe a distinct pathophysiological entity with its own diagnostic and management implications, mainly seen in the context of sporting injuries; and (2) to describe a constellation of symptoms that arise after different types of TBI.

THE PROBLEMS WITH CONCUSSION
It is commonly assumed that patients with postconcussive symptoms are unlikely to have significant structural brain injury. However, the true pathological situation is often much more uncertain. There are two main mechanisms of acute injury in TBI: direct contact and acceleration/deceleration. An object striking the head or the brain striking the inside of the
skull produces a direct injury. Alternatively, rapid acceleration and deceleration imparts shear, and tensile and compressive strains that mainly damage long-distance white matter connections by producing diffuse axonal and vascular injury. Primary injury produces skull fractures, intracranial haematoma and diffuse injuries. In addition, secondary injury results from processes triggered by the initial injury, such as ischaemia, raised intracranial pressure, infection and inflammation. Primary and secondary injuries interact to produce a complex pattern of evolving damage.

In this context, separating concussion as a distinct pathophysiological entity is very problematic (figure 2A). There is no clear pathological definition to distinguish concussion from other types of TBI, and the injuries leading to concussion are biomechanically similar to other types of TBI. Therefore, there is no a priori reason to think that concussion and mild TBI could be distinguished pathologically. It is also unclear how a clinician might decide between mild TBI and concussion, as the symptoms and signs of concussion also follow other types of TBI. For example, headache, cognitive impairment, emotional lability, loss of consciousness and sleep disturbance, each occur to variable extents after all types of TBI. Therefore, it is futile to try to separate concussion as a distinct entity on clinical grounds.

There are also problems in retaining concussion as a diagnostic label for the constellation of symptoms that are commonly experienced after TBI. Here concussion usually implies a ‘benign’ set of problems that will eventually resolve spontaneously. However, the assumed transience of ‘concussion’ symptoms is problematic, as many patients do not recover quickly and it is difficult to predict long-term outcome after TBI. Even apparently trivial injuries can sometimes have long-term effects, with patients reporting similar postconcussive symptoms after TBI of all severities. This can result in a circularity in diagnosis and prognostication. It is easy for the neurologist, who often has limited access to information about the nature of the original injury, to assume that a constellation of ‘postconcussive’ symptoms is likely to be benign—because of their ‘postconcussive’ nature. This can obviously result in patients being inappropriately reassured that their symptoms will spontaneously resolve, as well as a lack of investigation and treatment.

Standard investigations also do not particularly help in defining ‘concussion’. Many patients with mild TBI do not undergo neuroimaging and are perhaps wrongly reassured about the concussive nature of their problems without any detailed investigation. Even when there is available neuroimaging, it is easy to be falsely reassured by negative neuroimaging findings. Standard neuroimaging will identify large focal contusions or haemorrhage but normal conventional CT and MRI do not exclude diffuse axonal and vascular injury, both major drivers of poor clinical outcome after TBI (see Investigation section). Standard neuroimaging sequences can miss these problems, although more advanced techniques such as susceptibility weighted and diffusion MRI are a more sensitive way of identifying them.

Finally, the term concussion lacks any diagnostic precision and at worst encourages a lazy diagnostic
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**Figure 2** Two potential classification systems for traumatic brain injury and concussion.

---

**A** Concussion as a separate entity to Traumatic Brain Injury Classification

- **SEVERE**
  - Post-traumatic brain injury symptoms:
    - Headache
    - Dizziness
    - Sleep disturbance
    - Cognitive impairments
    - Fatigue
    - Irritability
    - Anxiety
    - Depression

- **MODERATE**
  - Increasing degree of structural damage

- **MILD**
  - Anxiety
  - Depression

- **CONCUSSION**
  - No structural damage

**B** Combined Traumatic Brain Injury Classification using the Mayo criteria and separating post-injury symptoms

- **MODERATE-SEVERE (DEFINITE)**
  - Post-traumatic brain injury symptoms:
    - Headache
    - Dizziness
    - Sleep disturbance
    - Cognitive impairments
    - Fatigue
    - Irritability
    - Anxiety
    - Depression

- **MILD (PROBABLE)**
  - Post-traumatic brain injury symptoms (focal and/or diffuse)

- **SYMPTOMATIC (POSSIBLE)**
  - Post-traumatic brain injury symptoms (focal and/or diffuse)
approach. Arriving quickly at the diagnosis of ‘post-concussive syndrome’ often curtails a detailed assessment of the post-traumatic symptoms. For example, patients with migrainous headaches may be labelled as having concussion, and denied more accurate diagnosis and treatment. This is a type of diagnostic bias where undue emphasis is placed on one aspect of the presentation (the initial injury), which has the effect of obscuring other elements of the diagnostic process. As a result patients with disabling problems often feel that they have not been properly assessed, ‘not been listened to’ and are ‘not getting the services that their injuries deserve’.24 This type of ‘broad-brush’ approach to the neurological assessment of patients with TBI is often justified by therapeutic nihilism. We believe such pessimism is inappropriate and that patients with TBI can benefit from the same level of diagnostic precision and careful management that neurologists bring to other areas of their practice.

WHAT SHOULD REPLACE CONCUSSION?
We propose that the terms concussion and post-concussive syndrome are unhelpful and should be ‘retired’. Instead, we should use a unified classification of the severity of TBI, coupled with a careful attempt to identify the underlying cause for any persistent post-traumatic symptoms (figure 2B). There are several TBI severity classification systems, but we recommend using the Mayo system (see box 1).25 This uses traditional estimates of severity based on loss of consciousness duration, Glasgow coma scale score and post-traumatic amnesia duration, and incorporates neuroimaging measures of injury severity. It separates the large group of patients with mild TBI into two groups, referred to as mild (probable) and symptomatic (possible) TBI. This distinction is useful as it acknowledges the heterogeneity of mild TBI, and makes it explicit that there is wide variation in the likelihood of significant neuropathology across the subgroup. For simplicity we shall refer to these two groups collectively as ‘mild TBI’ for the remainder of this article.

In the future, additional factors may also help prognostication. Large studies of clinical outcome after TBI are underway, such as Centre TBI (https://www.center-tbi.eu/), which will help to define the key factors determining clinical outcome. The risks of developing Alzheimer’s disease26 and Parkinson’s disease11 are already known to be related to apolipoprotein E ACE-R (APOE) and α-synuclein genotypes, respectively, and in the future genetic factors should allow a personalised calculation of the risks of a poor clinical outcome.

A HIERARCHICAL APPROACH TO MANAGEMENT OF MILD TBI
As head injuries are very common and most patients improve spontaneously, we need a hierarchical approach to medical management of mild TBI (figure 3).

Box 1 Mayo Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Classification System

A. Classify as Moderate–Severe (Definite) TBI if one or more of the following criteria apply:
1. Death due to this TBI
2. Loss of consciousness of 30 min or more
3. Post-traumatic anterograde amnesia of 24 h or more
4. Worst Glasgow Coma Scale full score in first 24 h <13 (unless invalidated upon review eg, attributable to intoxication, sedation, systemic shock)
5. One or more of the following present:
   ▶ Intracerebral haematoma
   ▶ Subdural haematoma
   ▶ Epidural haematoma
   ▶ Cerebral contusion
   ▶ Haemorrhagic contusion
   ▶ Penetrating TBI (dura penetrated)
   ▶ Subarachnoid haemorrhage
   ▶ Brainstem injury

B. If none of Criteria A apply, classify as Mild (Probable) TBI if one or more of the following criteria apply:
1. Loss of consciousness momentarily to less than 30 min
2. Post-traumatic anterograde amnesia momentarily to less than 24 h
3. Depressed, basilar or linear skull fracture (dura intact)

C. If none of Criteria A or B apply, classify as Symptomatic (Possible) TBI if one or more of the following symptoms are present:
▶ Blurred vision
▶ Confusion (mental state changes)
▶ Daze
▶ Dizziness
▶ Focal neurological symptoms
▶ Headache
▶ Nausea

Emergency assessment
Patients sometimes require emergency treatment, even after apparently minor injuries. The UK National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines outline clinical red flags,27 providing guidance about when this is necessary (see box 2 for NICE guidelines regarding acute CT imaging after TBI). The acute hospital management of TBI has recently been reviewed in Practical Neurology and we will not discuss this further here.28

Educational measures and community management
There is considerable confusion about how to manage mild TBI in the community, as well as wide variations between countries. This variability is exemplified in the management of sporting head injuries. Mild TBI is
common in the context of contact sports, but there is variable advice for players, parents and professional bodies. Following several high profile litigation cases in the USA, particularly in the National Football League, clear guidelines have been drawn up.\textsuperscript{29} Unusually, the USA has primary legislation linked to these guidelines for athletes aged under 18 years, the Zackery Lystedt law. This stipulates a requirement for education around concussion, clear return to play rules, and when it is required for a player to be reviewed by a healthcare professional with expertise in TBI.

Outside the USA there is often a lack of clear guidelines or a failure to enforce them.\textsuperscript{30} This confusion was illustrated at the 2014 football World Cup where three players lost consciousness after head injury and continued to play despite being clearly unfit (figures 4 and 5).\textsuperscript{30} Some sports have engaged more actively in the need to develop clear guidelines (eg, see the World Rugby guidelines\textsuperscript{31}). There is considerable value in developing consensus guidelines that apply across sports, as it is challenging to communicate and implement simple advice at the ‘pitchside’ and this is hampered by inconsistency. In the UK, the Faculty of Sports and Exercise Medicine is currently reviewing this subject.

In general, simple educational measures can reduce symptom duration and severity after mild TBI.\textsuperscript{32} 33 Providing an information booklet detailing common symptoms and coping strategies with a single follow-up session helps to reduce persistent symptoms.\textsuperscript{34} There is on-line information available: for example, the Headway charity and Rugby Football Union websites (https://www.headway.org.uk/ and http://www.englandrugby.com/my-rugby/players/player-health/concussion-headcase/). Due to the large numbers of mild TBI, it is too demanding of resources to provide a medical follow-up visit in many situations. Alternative approaches include telephone

**Box 2** National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Guidelines for determining the need for an acute CT scan of the head in adults following a traumatic head injury

- CT scan of head within 1 hour if any of the following are present:
  - Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score <13 on initial assessment
  - GCS<15 2 hours after injury
  - Suspected open or depressed skull fracture
  - Any sign of basal skull fracture
  - Post-traumatic seizure
  - Focal neurological deficit
  - >1 episode of vomiting since the head injury
- CT scan of head within 8 hours if:
  - Current warfarin treatment
  - Loss of consciousness or amnesia and any of the following:
    - Age >65 years
    - A history of bleeding or clotting disorder
    - Dangerous mechanism of injury (a pedestrian or cyclist struck by a motor vehicle, an occupant ejected from a motor vehicle or a fall from height of more than 1 m or five stairs)
    - More than 30 min retrograde amnesia of events immediately before the head injury

**Figure 3** A hierarchical approach to the management of mild traumatic brain injury.
follow-up and/or routinely following up only those patients felt most likely to have poor outcome.

Primary/emergency department care
Initial medical assessment in the UK usually takes place either by general practitioners or in emergency departments. Awareness of the effects of mild TBI varies widely in these contexts. Improved guidance and education particularly aimed at GPs and emergency doctors is likely to help. Major trauma centres within the UK have centralised the management of TBI in the hospital setting. This is driving significant improvements in the emergency care management of TBI, although most focus is on moderate/severe injuries; patients with mild TBI still often receive inconsistent advice.

Specialist review
Only a small proportion of patients with mild TBI in the UK is reviewed by a neurologist or another TBI specialist. However, patients often benefit from specialist review when symptoms persist. Systematic outpatient follow-up can help the functional outcome after head injury.32 This should ideally be provided in the context of a multidisciplinary team because the long-term effects of TBI are often multifactorial. Important inputs can be provided from neuropsychiatrists, psychologists, physiotherapists, endocrinologists, nurse specialists, vestibular specialists and occupational therapists. Some patients require readmission to hospital after their acute management, particularly those with very significant cognitive and psychiatric problems. It can be difficult to know when to escalate assessment and intervention, and funding can be problematic to secure. Nevertheless, the presence of severe cognitive impairment, uncontrolled epilepsy, violent tendencies, family breakdown, alcohol and drug abuse, and homelessness should trigger consideration of specialist inpatient rehabilitation. Patients diagnosed with ‘postconcussion’ syndrome are less likely to be referred for specialist review, but access to detailed assessment should not be determined by an uninformative diagnostic label.

INVESTIGATING MILD TBI

Neuroimaging
Standard structural brain CT and MRI are key investigations for the assessment of TBI (see box 2 for CT guidelines). CT is sensitive to skull fractures, focal brain injury and intracerebral bleeding and allows the identification of patients who have a moderate/severe injury according to the Mayo criteria.25 However, standard neuroimaging is often insensitive to subtle vascular or white matter injuries such as diffuse axonal injury, which can be seen in mild TBI. Therefore, a normal CT or standard MRI can be falsely reassuring.

Diffuse axonal and vascular injuries are important factors in producing poor clinical outcome.36–39 More advanced MRI techniques are sensitive to these effects. Gradient-echo and susceptibility weighted imaging can show microbleeds (figure 6), which are a stable marker of white matter injury after TBI.40 Susceptibility weighted imaging should now form part of the routine radiological investigation of TBI. In addition, diffusion MRI can provide a more complete and quantified assessment of white matter structure. This has been widely used in a research setting but is not yet widely available clinically.41 Diffusion-tensor imaging quantifies the diffusion characteristics of water (figure 7). These are altered by changes in tissue microstructure, providing a sensitive marker of white matter injury.23 42 43 Diffusion MRI can help predict clinical outcome44 and in mild TBI the extent of diffusion changes correlates with cognitive impairment.23 45–47

Nuclear medicine imaging and functional MRI can provide evidence of physiological changes following mild TBI. Single-photon emission CT can show

Figure 4 A football player knocked unconscious at the World Cup 2014. He played on for a further 14 minutes before being substituted (see figure 5).

Figure 5 The football player from figure 4 is led off having played on for 14 minutes after being knocked unconscious.
alterations in cerebral blood flow after mild TBI despite normal CT scans. Functional MRI also measures changes in blood flow and can show abnormalities following mild TBI that relate to cognitive function. These types of imaging are largely confined to a research setting but hold promise for future clinical use.

Positron emission tomography allows markers of neurodegeneration such as β-amyloid and tau pathology as well as markers of inflammation to be seen in vivo. Positron emission tomography ligands sensitive to β-amyloid show increased distribution volumes in patients who have suffered a TBI and ligands sensitive to activated microglia suggest persistent inflammatory responses up to 6 years following a TBI. These techniques have yet to be used in patients who have suffered mild or repetitive head injuries but offer promise in the future for detecting evidence of neurodegeneration and the potential mechanisms driving it, such as inflammation.

Blood and cerebrospinal fluid investigations
Hypothalamo-pituitary dysfunction should be screened for in patients with persistent symptoms. Endocrine abnormalities are common in the acute phase, but often resolve quickly. However, 10–50% of patients with TBI may be persistently affected, with growth hormone deficiency most common. Our own experience suggests that in civilian TBI the true rate of endocrine problems is closer to 10% and is likely to be lower following mild TBI. Nevertheless, appropriate hormonal replacement can improve post-TBI symptoms. One screening approach is to take baseline pituitary blood tests in all patients with persistent symptoms. Testing around 3 months after injury allows time for acute dysfunction to resolve. This approach provides information about hypothalamo-pituitary function, although expert endocrine input and dynamic testing will be necessary to completely exclude impairments.

Recent research has attempted to find blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers of TBI. Markers of neuronal damage such as total tau and neurofilament light polypeptide are elevated acutely in the CSF, with levels correlating with the exposure to head injury. Measuring CSF is, however, unlikely to be practical following mild TBI and therefore we need a blood biomarker. Unfortunately, the evidence for a blood biomarker is less convincing than for CSF, although a recent study showed correlation between the total tau levels in plasma acutely following a mild head injury and the time taken for symptoms to resolve in ice-hockey players.

MANAGING POST-TRAUMATIC SYMPTOMS
Cognitive impairment
Cognitive impairment resolves rapidly in most patients with mild TBI. However, significant numbers of patients present to neurologists with disabling cognitive symptoms after mild TBI, and the underlying cause can be challenging to evaluate. In general, the most common impairments are in the domains of awareness, processing speed, memory, attention and executive function, although their prevalence after mild TBI is debated. Persistent impairments of information processing speed, attention, memory and executive domains occur in some but not all studies reflecting the heterogeneity of mild TBI. In this context, cognitive testing is key to identifying objective deficits. Formal neuropsychometric assessment administered by a clinical psychologist is ideal. Assessments of effort can be particularly useful, as this may be reduced in situations where there is secondary gain such as medical litigation. However, detailed neuropsychometric assessment is not always practical even for patients being evaluated in a specialist setting, but all patients should have some form of cognitive testing. Traditional cognitive screening tests such as the Mini-Mental State Examination or the longer Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination can provide useful information in the clinic, although they are not
optimal for evaluating cognition after TBI. These traditional ‘paper and pencil’ screening test are most useful for memory assessment after TBI but are less effective at identifying impairments of attention, processing speed and executive function.

Computerised assessment of cognition is increasingly used, especially for sports injury. For example, professional rugby players typically complete pre-season cognitive screening and are reassessed following TBI and just before their anticipated return to

Figure 7 (A) Diffusion-tensor imaging assessment of white matter damage after traumatic brain injury (TBI). Axial images show a contrast between mild TBI and control groups. Normal white matter is shown in green, with red regions showing damaged areas (low fractional anisotropy). (B) and (C) A single case study of a 41-year-old man with a mild TBI following a road traffic collision (post-traumatic amnesia of <24 h, loss of consciousness <30 min). (B) Normal structural MRI (T1 and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery). (C) Diffusion-tensor imaging assessment of white matter structure. The graph shows Z-scores for the comparison of fractional anisotropy in each tract between the patient and controls. The central white area denotes the area of Z<1.64 (p>0.01) for the control group’s fractional anisotropy. Red bars indicate where that tract’s fractional anisotropy value was >2.3 SDs from the control group mean. This provides evidence for extensive damage throughout this patient’s white matter, despite normal standard structural imaging. (D) An illustration of diffusion-tensor imaging data, where the colour represents the predominant direction of water diffusion. L, left; R, right; CC, corpus callosum; SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus; ILF, inferior longitudinal fasciculus; CST, corticospinal tract; Hipp, hippocampus.
play. This can be a powerful approach, although care needs to be taken in interpreting results as players sometimes attempt to ‘game’ the system by deliberately performing poorly in baseline assessments. More generally, longitudinal assessment should allow cognitive function to be sensitively tracked after TBI and its routine use should now be feasible at low cost. Our current approach in clinic is to screen all new patients with the Addenbrooke’s cognitive examination-revised (ACE-R), combined with computerised assessment of the domains commonly affected by TBI. We are evaluating internet-based longitudinal tracking of cognition and complex patients are then referred on for formal neuropsychometry.

Catecholaminergic and cholinergic agents can enhance cognition after TBI (for review). The best evidence is for methylphenidate and amantadine. At least 15 trials (10 were randomised-controlled trials, RCTs) have investigated methylphenidate as a cognitive enhancer, although all are relatively small (N=40 or fewer). Most indicate that it leads to faster information processing. Less consistently, there were improvements in functional outcomes and attentional measures. Meta-analysis evidence also suggests that methylphenidate can improve anger, aggression and psychosocial function. Most of these studies focus on moderate to severe injuries but two trials reported a benefit in mild to moderate traumatic brain injuries. Amantadine is an indirect dopamine agonist and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonist, and two double-blind RCTs support its use in the first 6 months after severe TBI. A recent large multicentre international RCT (N=184) showed it accelerated recovery over the first 4 months after TBI with improvements across all behavioural measures including sustained attention, command following and object recognition. In addition, there is also evidence that the cholinergic agent donepezil, widely used to treat memory disturbance in Alzheimer disease, can enhance memory and attention following TBI.

Approaches to cognitive rehabilitation include extensive practice, and training patients to try and compensate for impairments by using preserved cognitive abilities. These techniques typically improve performance on measures similar to the tasks trained on, with as yet a lack of persuasive evidence that this translates to marked improvements in day-to-day functioning. Cognitive rehabilitation works best when incorporated into a well-supported rehabilitation programme, although outside a few specific contexts (eg, the Headley Court mild TBI military rehabilitation programme) this does not exist in the UK for mild TBI (table 1).

Psychiatric symptoms

Although psychiatric symptoms are common after TBI, patients can find it difficult to access specialists who view them as ‘their problem’. They often coexist with cognitive impairment and can influence clinical outcome, interfere with rehabilitation and increase mortality. New psychiatric problems often occur after injury, either through direct neural effects or because of a psychological reaction to the impact of the injury. However, pre-existing mental health disorders increase the risk of developing a psychiatric disorder after injury (~75% vs 45%). Depression is particularly common and can be treated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants (for review). Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors are favoured because of the potential for tricyclic antidepressants to impair cognitive function. Sertraline and citalopram have the greatest evidence base and we favour their use in our clinic. Psychological treatments may also be helpful, with a recent RCT finding mindfulness training reduced symptoms of post-TBI depression. For anxiety, including post-traumatic stress disorder, psychological treatment with cognitive behavioural therapy is likely to help. One RCT found it helped to prevent post-traumatic stress disorder after mild TBI and another reported that a combination of cognitive behavioural therapy and neurorehabilitation reduced anxiety symptoms after TBI. Our practice is to view psychiatric problems as being within the neurological remit. We therefore routinely assess and treat psychiatric problems as part of our neurological practice, working closely with a neuropsychiatrist who advises about optimal treatment approaches in a multidisciplinary team setting.

Headache

Head injuries often produce headache. The duration is very variable and often does not relate clearly to injury severity. The pathogenesis of post-traumatic headache is poorly understood. Local trauma or muscular injuries presumably account for some headaches but many cases of mild head injury do not produce obvious focal injury. Experimental mild TBI does, however, show similar biochemical changes as that seen in migraine, suggesting a possible pathogenic mechanism to explain the high incidence of post-traumatic headache. Analgesic treatment is effective acutely, using combinations of opiates, paracetamol and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. The need for these medications usually rapidly reduces over the first few weeks. Once the initial period of acute pain has settled (usually within the first 2 months), we advise patients to avoid opiate medication completely and to taper other analgesics to avoid analgesic overuse headache.

It is surprisingly common for headaches to persist for many months after mild TBI. A recent prospective study of more than 200 patients found a 1-year cumulative incidence of 91%, with migraine present in 50%. A reactivation or worsening of
migraine frequency is common, and migrainous type headaches sometimes occur de novo. Previous history of migraine, age <60 years, female sex, and mood disturbance have been associated with more persistent headaches.129 131 Tension-type headache and cervicogenic headache are also common.130 133 Given the incidence of headache and the risk of chronic pain, a diagnosis of ‘post-concussion’ headache is unhelpful, as it is often accompanied by a failure to manage the problem actively. It is unclear whether treatment guidelines can be extrapolated from primary headache disorders. However, in our experience symptomatic migraine treatment with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and triptans can be helpful, and prophylactic medication is often required for frequent headaches with propranolol and amitriptyline both sometimes effective. There is limited clinical trial evidence available, although triptans and topiramate are effective.134 It is useful to consider the presence of other symptoms when choosing prophylactic treatment. For example, if patients are anxious or require a mood stabiliser, propranolol or sodium valproate may treat the headache and mood problems. In contrast, if there is an element of insomnia then amitriptyline is a sensible choice. Physiotherapy or nerve blocks can help for headaches of cervicogenic origin.109 110 From our experience and in a small number of reported cases, greater occipital nerve injections can help to treat post-traumatic headaches, especially if there is localised tenderness.108

Dizziness

Dizziness affects up to 80% of patients in the first few days following a head injury.135 It often persists after mild head injury, with up to a fifth of patients still symptomatic 3 years later.136 This is often labelled as ‘post-concussion’ dizziness, but this is not a useful

### Table 1 Managing post-traumatic symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive impairments</td>
<td>General Measures</td>
<td>Cognitive rehabilitation, ideally in the context of a holistic rehabilitation programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pharmacological treatments</td>
<td>Computer based neuropsychology training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treat underlying depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treat underlying sleep disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treat underlying endocrine disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dopaminergic medications: for example, methylphenidate and amantadine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cholinergic medications: for example, donepezil and rivastigmine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric problems</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Psychological therapies for example, cognitive-behaviour therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medications: SSRIs (in particular sertraline and citalopram)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treat underlying sleep disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treat underlying endocrine disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Migraine or probable migraine</td>
<td>Treatment as for primary migraine (including lifestyle measures, acute and prophylactic treatment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tension type headache</td>
<td>(consider greater occipital nerve injection)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cervicogenic</td>
<td>Simple analgesics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medication overuse</td>
<td>Prophylactic treatment, for example, amitriptyline or alternative tricyclic antidepressant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nerve blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo</td>
<td>Repositioning manoeuvres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migrainous vertigo</td>
<td>Physiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central vestibular system problems (ie, injury to the central nervous system sections of the vestibular system)</td>
<td>Migraine treatment as above, first-line propranolol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-specific post-traumatic dizziness</td>
<td>Vestibular rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disturbance</td>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>Cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep hygiene measures (eg, remove electronic equipment from bedroom, reduce light and noise disturbance, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nocturnal hypnotics (use with caution due to risk of impairing cognitive functions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Continuous positive airway pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Modafinil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td></td>
<td>Treat underlying depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treat underlying sleep disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treat underlying endocrine disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bright light therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical conditioning programmes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor.
Sleep disturbance
Sleep disturbance is also very common after TBI. Questionnaires can be used to screen for significant problems, although polysomnography will often help clarify the cause of disturbance. Several factors can impair sleep following TBI including chronic pain, depression, obstructive sleep apnoea and impaired endogenous melatonin production. Simple measures such as encouraging basic sleep hygiene can be helpful. Obstructive sleep apnoea can be managed with non-invasive ventilation techniques and there may be a role for melatonin treatment. Modafinil is useful for treating excessive daytime sleepiness, although it may not lead to improvements in the associated cognitive problems. Benzodiazepines and gamma-Aminobutyric acid (GABA) agonists can exacerbate cognitive impairments, so should be avoided or used with caution. Cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia is likely to be an effective non-pharmacological approach to insomnia, and a small study showed improved sleep and reduced fatigue after a brief intervention in patients with TBI.
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