I was a Consultant Neurologist in Edinburgh for almost 9 years before I moved to become a Senior Staff Specialist at the Canberra Hospital in Australia in 2003. This was for a combination of reasons, including the fact that Canberra offered much scope for development and I didn’t see too much hope of that in the UK National Health Service (NHS). Even though Canberra is the capital of Australia, it is unlikely that many readers will know much about it. It is relatively small, about 350,000 people, and though the area has been populated by the Ngunnawal people for at least 21,000 years, it was only named Canberra as recently as 1913 when it became the seat of Government for the new Commonwealth of Australia.

Canberra is a naturally beautiful city and, because it is relatively new, it is very well-organized with an extremely good road system, excellent public services, very good schools, and a relatively low crime rate. It has two large public hospitals, one in the north and one in the south where neurology is based. All medical and surgical disciplines are represented with the major exception of transplantation surgery, for which patients go to Sydney, about 200 miles to the north-east.

Taking up neurological practice in a different country was somewhat daunting but in fact I have found little difference between the type of patients I see in Canberra and those I saw in Edinburgh. However, moving countries has caused me to reflect on a number of differences in medical practice between the UK (dominated by the NHS) and Australia where there is a much smaller public service.

GETTING HERE

Moving to Canberra was a bureaucratic challenge which made me realize the qualifications and privileges I had come to take for granted in the UK. Obviously, the first hurdle was to obtain the right to reside and work in Australia. This took about 18 months, but was much easier for me because I have an Australian wife. The next hurdle was to have my UK qualifications recognized. The formal way to do this is to sit an examination (written, long...
cases, and short cases) in the whole of general medicine. However, it is possible to obtain limited specialist registration if one has qualifications in a specialty that are recognized as equivalent to Australian qualifications. Assessing this, as expected, involved inspection of my UK qualifications, as well as determining that I could speak English adequately. Having passed these hurdles (which took over 6 months), I was then invited by the Royal Australasian College of Physicians to attend an interview at a capital city of my choice. As I was in Edinburgh, I rather naïvely suggested London. Unfortunately, this wasn’t what they meant - I had a choice of a different sort of capital city, i.e. Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane, etc. The upshot of the interview - in Sydney - was that my UK qualifications were recognized, but I still had to undergo a year of supervised practice in Australia before I could be given full registration to practise neurology. There followed the rather curious situation in which I took up my post as the only Staff Neurologist at my hospital (where I was also both Head of Department and Associate Professor of Neurology) while being 'supervised' by one of my visiting medical officer colleagues.

Even having passed the interview in Sydney, I still had to obtain local registration with the Health Board to be allowed to practise in Canberra. I then found I had to register with the Health Insurance Commission to obtain a 'provider number', which gives me the right to request tests and to qualify for the Medicare rebate when patients come to see me (this differs from my 'prescriber number' which I also had to obtain, but I won’t try to explain the difference between these two as there isn’t enough space). As I only found out about all this after I arrived in Australia, I spent the first few months unable to request tests such as MR scans - my junior staff had to sign the request forms for me.

**CLUB MEMBERSHIP**

All these essentially bureaucratic issues made me think about what it is that makes me a neurologist, and what tells the rest of the world that I am (I hope) at least a passably good one. Perhaps somewhat disappointingly, it means basically belonging to a form of neurology 'club' with rather rigorous entry criteria. I don’t mean the Association of British Neurologists or the Austral-
ian Association of Neurologists (which are obvi-
ously rather select clubs). I mean the Specialist
Neurology Registers held by the national medi-
cal regulating bodies where, for neurology, the
membership criteria seem to be an ‘adequate’
clinical training, some form of assessment, and
subsequent payment of an annual membership
fee. From my personal experience, it appears
that membership of such a club in one country is
transferable to another country if the appropri-
ate membership criteria of the new club are met
(and an adequate fee is paid). But I do wonder
whether this system could be improved upon.

Because I am as old as I am, my specialist as-
sessment actually comprises little more than an
endorsement in the UK that for the previous
4 years I had worked in ‘accredited’ neurology
training posts. Like most of my contemporar-
ies in the UK and Australia, I was never asked
to sit an ‘exit’ exam, nor have I been assessed
on how much neurological knowledge I actu-
ally picked up during my training. Nevertheless,
once I made it into the club, I was let loose on
the public, and have never been formally reas-
sessed as to whether I am still practising at an
adequate standard (whatever that means). Even
allowing for my year of peer review once I got
to Australia, no one appropriately qualified to
judge has ever seen me interact with a patient or
critically tested my diagnostic acumen.

In both the UK and Australia, various com-
mittees are at work to improve assessment
during training, to explore the possibility of
exit exams, and to determine how fitness to
continue practising might subsequently be
assessed. Much as I now dread the thought of
sitting an exam or undertaking some other
form of revalidation exercise, I have to con-
cede that this is what we should all be head-
ing towards. Though I know it will complicate
matters, I would strongly suggest that it would
be a good idea if there were some form of in-
ternational dialogue in this process. By means
of adopting mutually agreed criteria, continu-
ing assessment could become internationally
standardized. This would greatly facilitate the
movement of suitably qualified and revalidated
doctors from one country to another by reduc-
ing the sort of bureaucracy that I had to work
my way through to get here.

NEUROLOGY TRAINING
In Australia, like the UK, neurology training
begins after passing a qualifying postgradu-
ate exam, which is taken after a minimum of
3 years in general medicine. The UK training in
neurology then comprises 5 years of specialist
experience. The Australian training comprises
only 3 years - two core years and an elective. The
two core years are standard, ‘coal face’ jobs. The
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elective year is much more flexible, often spent in basic neuroscience research, or attached to specialist stroke, epilepsy, neurophysiology, or movement disorder units, sometimes abroad. Many trainees then spend a year or two as a clinical fellow (again, often in another country) before taking up a consultant post, but this is not, strictly speaking, necessary.

One major difference between the two countries is the neurophysiology component of training. In the UK, neurology trainees have to spend a ‘period of time’ doing neurophysiology, sitting in with a consultant and ‘getting a feel’ for what is involved. In Australia, the requirements are much more precise, and the trainees have to report on 500 EEGs and 50 evoked potentials, and perform 250 nerve conduction studies. This reflects the fact that neurologists in Australia are also neurophysiologists (though they vary greatly in how much they do), in contrast to the UK where neurologists and neurophysiologists are really two distinct types of doctor with separate training programmes and separate specialist registers.

Neurophysiology was therefore my biggest worry when moving to Australia. Rather dauntingly, one of the requirements in Canberra was to report on 500 EEGs, and to hold a regular EMG clinic. To cope with this, I went on several ‘crash revision’ courses when I first moved here, but it still took several months before I felt I was competent and I still very firmly limit myself to all but the most basic nerve conduction studies.

NEUROLOGY PRACTICE

In the UK, there are 470 neurologists in Australia, just under 400. At first sight, it might seem that Australia is doing rather well, considering the population of the UK is about 55 million, while that of Australia is only 20 million. However, Australia has a land-mass that is about the size of continental Europe, meaning that many Australians who don’t live in the major cities find accessing a neurologist very difficult, if not impossible. Also, in the UK many neurologists have relatively little to do with the management of acute stroke. This is most unusual in Australia, where, for the majority of neurologists, stroke makes up the largest part of their in-patient workload.

Curiously, the UK has a much larger number of neurology trainees – currently 241. In Australia, there are fewer than 50. Even allowing for the difference in length of the respective training, this is a significant difference. Maybe my earlier suggestion of ‘globalization’ of qualification recognition might be useful if the UK ends up with a surplus of trained neurologists and Australia a dearth.

Perhaps the biggest difference in neurological practice is the public/private divide. In the UK, almost all neurologists are attached to an NHS hospital where they spend all or most of their time – although many neurologists have a private practice in addition to their NHS commitment, their energies and organizational skills are, for the most part, directed towards serving and supporting the public system. In Australia, the equivalent would be the Hospital Staff Specialists, most of whom also do a small amount of private practice. However, most neurologists are private practitioners who have contracts with the public hospitals as ‘Visiting Medical Officers’ (VMOs). In my hospital, there are two staff specialists and three VMOs, and between us we run a one in five on-call rota. VMOs are contracted in different ways in different hospitals, but Canberra generally expects about 9 h/week from each of ours. Thus, it is not too surprising that VMOs’ energies and organizational skills are mostly directed to their practices, not to the public hospitals. For a large number of reasons, I think this is a pity, and Australia is the poorer as a result. In spite of its many faults, the UK really does have an enormous asset in the NHS.

EPILOGUE

I feel greatly privileged to have had the opportunity to live in both the UK and Australia, and to have been able to work as a neurologist in both countries. There are many differences in life-style, some good, some not so good. I love the UK, and I very much enjoyed working in Edinburgh, but for me there is greater opportunity over here, and nothing beats sitting on the veranda of our weekender at the coast, glass in hand, listening to the gentle cacophony of Australian birdsong while watching the waves gently roll in from the Pacific Ocean as the sun sets over the eucalyptus-clad mountains in the background.
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